

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
2. Proposed Action and No-Action Alternative	2-1
2.1 Proposed Action	2-2
2.1.1 Overview of Implementing Alternatives and Scenarios	2-5
2.1.1.1 Packaging Scenarios	2-7
2.1.1.2 Repository Operating Modes	2-8
2.1.1.2.1 Higher-Temperature Repository Operating Mode	2-10
2.1.1.2.2 Lower-Temperature Repository Operating Mode	2-12
2.1.1.3 National Transportation Scenarios	2-13
2.1.1.4 Nevada Transportation Scenarios and Rail and Intermodal Implementing Alternatives	2-13
2.1.1.5 Continuing Investigation of Design Options	2-14
2.1.2 Repository Facilities and Operations	2-14
2.1.2.1 Repository Surface Facilities and Operations	2-19
2.1.2.1.1 North Portal Operations Area	2-19
2.1.2.1.2 South Portal Development Area	2-25
2.1.2.1.3 Ventilation Shaft Operations Areas	2-25
2.1.2.1.4 Support Facilities and Utilities	2-25
2.1.2.2 Repository Subsurface Facilities and Operations	2-28
2.1.2.2.1 Subsurface Facility Design and Construction	2-28
2.1.2.2.2 Ventilation	2-31
2.1.2.2.3 Waste Package Emplacement Operations	2-32
2.1.2.2.4 Engineered Barrier Design	2-33
2.1.2.3 Performance Confirmation Program	2-39
2.1.2.4 Repository Closure	2-39
2.1.3 Transportation Activities	2-40
2.1.3.1 Loading Activities at Commercial and DOE Sites	2-40
2.1.3.2 National Transportation	2-40
2.1.3.2.1 National Transportation Shipping Scenarios	2-46
2.1.3.2.2 Mostly Legal-Weight Truck Shipping Scenario	2-47
2.1.3.2.3 Mostly Rail Shipping Scenario	2-47
2.1.3.3 Nevada Transportation	2-48
2.1.3.3.1 Nevada Legal-Weight Truck Scenario	2-51
2.1.3.3.2 Nevada Rail Scenario	2-51
2.1.3.3.3 Nevada Heavy-Haul Truck Scenario	2-54
2.1.3.4 Shipping Cask Manufacturing, Maintenance, and Disposal	2-59
2.1.4 Alternative Design Concepts and Design Features	2-61
2.1.4.1 Design Features and Alternatives To Control the Thermal/Moisture Environment in the Repository and To Limit Release and Transport of Radionuclides	2-61
2.1.4.2 Design Features and Alternatives to Support Operational and Cost Considerations	2-62
2.1.5 Estimated Costs Associated with the Proposed Action	2-63
2.2 No-Action Alternative	2-64
2.2.1 Yucca Mountain Site Decommissioning and Reclamation	2-65

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
2.2.2 Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste at Commercial and DOE Sites	2-65
2.2.2.1 Storage Packages and Facilities at Commercial and DOE Sites	2-65
2.2.2.2 No-Action Scenario 1	2-70
2.2.2.3 No-Action Scenario 2	2-70
2.2.3 No-Action Alternative Costs	2-72
2.3 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Detailed Study	2-72
2.3.1 Alternatives Addressed Under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act	2-73
2.3.2 Repository Design Alternatives Eliminated from Detailed Study	2-73
2.3.3 Transportation Alternatives Eliminated from Detailed Study	2-74
2.3.3.1 Potential Rail Routes Considered but Eliminated from Further Detailed Study	2-74
2.3.3.2 Potential Highway Routes for Heavy-Haul Trucks and Associated Intermodal Transfer Station Locations Considered but Eliminated from Further Detailed Study	2-76
2.4 Summary of Findings and Comparison of the Proposed Action and the No-Action Alternative	2-78
2.4.1 Comparison of Proposed Action and No-Action Alternative	2-78
2.4.2 Short-Term Impacts of The Proposed Action Repository Construction, Operation and Monitoring, and Closure and No-Action Alternative	2-83
2.4.3 Long-Term Impacts of the Proposed Action and the No-Action Alternative	2-85
2.4.4 Impacts of Transportation Scenarios	2-86
2.4.4.1 National Transportation	2-86
2.4.4.2 Nevada Transportation	2-87
2.5 Collection of Information and Analyses	2-88
2.5.1 Incomplete or Unavailable Information	2-94
2.5.2 Uncertainty	2-94
2.5.3 Opposing Views	2-94
2.5.4 Perceived Risk and Stigma	2-95
2.6 Preferred Alternative	2-96
References	2-98

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
2-1 Packaging scenarios	2-8
2-2 Summary of key underground design and operating parameters associated with repository operating modes analyzed in the EIS	2-9
2-3 National transportation scenarios	2-46
2-4 Nevada transportation shipping scenarios	2-51
2-5 Proposed Action costs from 2002 to closure	2-63
2-6 No-Action Alternative life-cycle costs for 10,000 years	2-72
2-7 Impacts associated with the Proposed Action and No-Action Alternative	2-79

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
2-8	Health and safety impact comparison of Proposed Action to No-Action Alternative	2-84
2-9	National transportation impacts for the transportation of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste for the mostly rail and mostly legal-weight truck scenarios	2-87
2-10	Comparison of impacts for Nevada rail implementing alternatives and for legal-weight truck shipments	2-89
2-11	Comparison of impacts for Nevada heavy-haul truck implementing alternatives and for legal-weight truck shipments	2-91

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
2-1	General activity areas evaluated under the Proposed Action and No-Action Alternative	2-1
2-2	Diagram and location of the proposed repository at Yucca Mountain	2-3
2-3	Sources of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste proposed for disposal at the Yucca Mountain Repository	2-4
2-4	Overview flowchart of the Proposed Action	2-6
2-5	Analytical scenarios and implementing alternatives associated with the Proposed Action	2-7
2-6	Artist's conception of water flow around emplacements for higher- and lower-temperature repository operating modes	2-11
2-7	Surface facilities at the proposed Yucca Mountain Repository	2-16
2-8	Artist's conception of proposed repository facilities	2-17
2-9	Monitored geologic repository range of milestones used for analysis	2-18
2-10	Potential repository surface facilities site plan	2-20
2-11	Key components of Waste Handling Building operations	2-22
2-12	Higher-temperature repository operating mode preclosure ventilation air flow in primary block	2-26
2-13	Flexible design operating mode repository layout showing possible maximum emplacement drift area	2-29
2-14	Tunnel boring machine	2-30
2-15	Waste package for commercial spent nuclear fuel	2-34
2-16	Drip shield and waste package containing commercial spent nuclear fuel with drip shields in place	2-35
2-17	Typical section of emplacement drift with waste packages and drip shields in place	2-37
2-18	Waste package on an emplacement pallet	2-38
2-19	Emplacement pallet	2-38
2-20	Artist's conception of a truck cask on a legal-weight tractor-trailer truck	2-41
2-21	Artist's conception of a large rail cask on a railcar	2-41
2-22a	Commercial and DOE sites and Yucca Mountain in relation to the U.S. Interstate Highway System	2-42
2-22b	Representative truck routes from commercial and DOE sites to Yucca Mountain analyzed for the Proposed Action	2-43
2-23a	Commercial and DOE sites and Yucca Mountain in relation to the U.S. railroad system	2-44
2-23b	Representative rail routes from commercial and DOE sites to Yucca Mountain analyzed for the Proposed Action	2-45

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
2-24	Potential Nevada routes for legal-weight truck shipments of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste to Yucca Mountain	2-49
2-25	Existing Nevada rail lines	2-50
2-26	Potential Nevada rail routes to Yucca Mountain	2-52
2-27	Potential intermodal transfer station locations	2-56
2-28	Conceptual diagram of intermodal transfer station layout	2-57
2-29	Artist's conception of a heavy-haul truck carrying a rail shipping cask	2-58
2-30	Potential routes in Nevada for heavy-haul trucks	2-60
2-31	No-Action Alternative activities and analytical scenarios	2-64
2-32	Typical independent spent fuel storage installation	2-67
2-33	Spent nuclear fuel concrete storage module	2-68
2-34	Spent nuclear fuel dry storage canister	2-69
2-35	Conceptual design for solidified high-level radioactive waste storage facility	2-70
2-36	Facility timeline assumptions for No-Action Scenarios 1 and 2	2-71
2-37	Potential rail routes to Yucca Mountain, Nevada, considered but eliminated from further study	2-75
2-38	Potential highway routes for heavy-haul trucks to Yucca Mountain, Nevada, considered but eliminated from further study	2-77